## Casse 3:09-cr-00523-WHA Document 14 Filed 07/06/09 Page 11 of f3

1	JOSEPH P. RUSSONIELLO (CABN 44332) United States Attorney
2	BRIAN J. STRETCH (CABN 163973) Chief, Criminal Division
4	MATTHEW L. McCARTHY (CABN 217871) Assistant United States Attorney
5	•
6	450 Golden Gate Avenue, 11th Floor San Francisco, California 94102 Telephone: (415) 436-6488
7	Fax: (415) 436-6838 Email: Matthew.McCarthy@usdoj.gov
8	Attorneys for Plaintiff
9	
10	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
11	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
12	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION
13	
14	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, ) No. CR 09-0523 WHA
15	Plaintiff, ) STIPLU ATION AND (PROPOSED)
16	v. ) STIPULATION AND [ <del>PROPOSED</del> ] v. ) ORDER EXCLUDING TIME FROM JUNE 16, 2009 THROUGH JUNE 23, 2009
17	VIRGINIA ROBERSON, ) a/k/a Virgina Moody, )
18	and )
19	ANTOINETTE COWDEN,
20	Defendants.
21	
22	
23	On June 16, 2009, the parties in this case appeared before the Court. At that time, the parties
24	stipulated that time should be excluded from the Speedy Trial Act calculations from June 16,
25	2009 through June 23, 2009 for effective preparation of defense counsel. The parties represented
26	that the continuance was the reasonable time necessary for effective preparation and continuity
27	of defense counsel, taking into account the exercise of due diligence. See 18 U.S.C. §
28	3161(h)(8)(B)(iv).
	STIP. AND [PROPOSED] ORDER EXCLUDING TIME CR 09-0523 WHA

1 Specifically, the parties agreed that the government needed to provide additional discovery to 2 counsel for Ms. Roberson, and that her counsel needed time to review that discovery. 3 The parties and the Court agreed that the ends of justice served by granting such a 4 continuance outweighed the best interests of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial. See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(8)(A). 5 SPEEDY TRIAL ACT IMPLICATIONS 6 7 Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3161, and Crim. Loc. Rule 47-2(c), there are sixty-three days 8 remaining before the trial in this case must commence. Taking the stipulated time exclusion from June 16 through June 23, 2009 into account, the "speedy trial" date for this matter is 9 August 25, 2009. 10 11 SO STIPULATED: JOSEPH P. RUSSONIELLO 12 **United States Attorney** 13 DATED: 7-6-09 14 MATTHEW L. McCARTHY 15 Assistant United States Attorney 16 17 DATED: 7-6-09 JUDD IVERSEN 18 Attorney for Virginia Roberson 19 20 DATED: 7-6-09 21 Attorney for Antoinette Cowden 22 23 **ORDER** 24 As the Court found on June 16, 2009, and for the reasons stated above, the Court finds that 25 an exclusion of time between June 16, 2009 through June 23, 2009 is warranted and that the ends 26 of justice served by the continuance outweigh the best interests of the public and the defendant in 27 a speedy trial. See 18 U.S.C. §3161 (h)(8)(A). The failure to grant the requested continuance 28 STIP. AND [PROPOSED] ORDER EXCLUDING TIME

2

CR 09-0523 WHA

## **Case3:09-cr-00523-WHA Document 16 Filed 07/06/09 Page**3306f33

would deny defense counsel the reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence, and would result in a miscarriage of justice. See 18 U.S.C. §3161(h)(8)(B)(iv). Taking the stipulated time exclusion from June 16 through June 23, 2009 into account, the "speedy trial" date for this man ISTANDESTATED STATES AND ISTANDED STATES 25, 2009. IT IS SO ORDERED SO ORDERED. July 7, 2009 Judge William Alsup DATED: ourt Judge 

STIP. AND [PROPOSED] ORDER EXCLUDING TIME CR 09-0523 WHA 3